Vol.5 No.2 (2022)



DIFFERENCE IN PERCEPTIONS OF UNIVERSITY STUDENTS FOR THEIR TEACHERS' TO EXHIBIT GENDER BIASES

> Dr. Huma Lodhi, Assistant professor University of Education, Lahore, Pakistan <u>huma.lodhi@gmail.com</u> Dr. Tahira Kalsoom Assistant professor Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan <u>tahira.kalsoom@lcwu.edu.pk</u> Rabeea Maryam MPhil Scholar , University of Education, Lahore rabiyamaryam7@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The research attempts to investigate students' perceptions regarding gender bias practiced by teachers in higher education. There are many forms of gender bias such as imbalance, stereotyping, invisibility, selectivity, linguistics, and isolation as in the case of co-education institutions. It is commonly assumed that students face biases from teachers due to gender. The main objective was to explore students' perceptions of gender bias. For this study survey method was adopted to collect the data through questionnaires. The population was the students of universities situated in the Lahore district. Six universities and from each university three disciplines were selected conveniently. Sampling consists of 300 students from the selected universities. T-test and one-way ANOVA were used to analyze data and find out differences in perceptions on the basis of certain demographic variables. No significant difference was found in the perceptions on the basis of different demographic variables. In conclusion, it can be said that gender bias remains an issue when it comes to student-student connections within classrooms. But students credited sociological perception of gender differences. **Key Words:** Bias, Higher, Education, Gender, Students

Introduction

The number of female entrants to higher education in Pakistan is constantly increasing irrespective of age and background. It is evident from the statistics available in almost all educational fields that despite gender segregation in the choice of disciplines, fields are dominated by a large number of female students. The field of science is predominantly male but it is also getting feminized slowly and gradually in addition to information technology and mathematics.

The cause of gender discrimination in higher education is a multi-factorial phenomenon including demographic, economic, sociological, and educational factors. The possibility of fixing these factors seems remote even in the near future. On the contrary, some of the factors are acting as a catalyst for robust growth in female education as compared with men (which is on rising anyway) (Bailey 2006,).

According to Brown (2016), the gender-wise difference in learning is evident even in being in the same class, teacher, and reading common content.

Braga, Paccagnella, and Pellizzari, (2014) describe that classrooms are the place where individuals of a particular society portray their strengths and tribulations at the same time. The overall social fabric of the society affects the perceptions regarding gender roles in social life hence it influences teachers' behavior in the classroom.

Sometimes teachers show unconscious biases towards gender and their expectations become stereotypes due to social mindset. Typical male dominating behavior of boys manipulates the classroom environment and teachers usually accept it as a matter of fact. These biases are the result of social attitudes toward male and female roles and expectations attached to both genders. The student group which dominates the classroom environment and most of the teacher time is called the target group and it was identified by the researchers (Knol, Vorst, van, & Mellenbergh, 2013).

Teachers' perceptions regarding students' abilities can be understood through their words, praise, and the expectations they expressed while they are providing feedback in the classroom. It is said that boys get more meaningful and critical feedback than girls (MacNell, Driscoll, & Hunt, 2015). Girls get praised for their look and appearance whereas boys are praised for their hard work, intelligence, and uniqueness but the same attributes of girls are ignored. These feelings related to teachers' behavior are expressed by girls in a study that do not receive appreciation for their hard work and intelligence so that they can develop their abilities and skills (McLaughlin et al, 2013).

When a class is headed by female teachers, boys are more probable to be seen as troublemaking. When a male teacher is taking class, girls are liable to account that they did not glance ahead to a subject. With respect to gender, studies suggest that men are more stereotyped as being mannish, while women are viewed as being more vulnerable. Manly traits are



often associated with those found in headship positions and include more striving firm and central tendencies (Eagly and Karau, 2002).

Differences in students' perceptions depending on teachers' behavior had a great impact on teacher-student interaction. If we analyze the education system from a Pakistani perspective, it is a shocking reality that most of the features and facilities of the education system favor boys more than girls. So it can be said that the primary education system addresses the needs of boys. Morrison and Johnson (2013) Said that "boys are referred for testing to gifted programs twice as often as girls". Mengel, Sauermann, and Zölitz (2017) said "The concept of giftedness is seen as departing from the right, and girls strive to be conventional."

Such types of teachers' behavior can be changed if we provide them with awareness and help them to change their behavior. As per the perception of Rosen & Andrew (2017) for reducing the phenomenon of gender bias, the gender resource model assists the teacher. Ross (2017) added that in class and during activities and assessments, girls' role is often passive. While girls are reading instructions and recording, boys by using equipment complete the task.

Objectives:

The objectives of the study are:

- To investigate students perceptions regarding gender bias in higher education.
- To identify difference in the perceptions of students regarding gender bias with reference to demographic variables such as gender, university and department, age, and level of education.

Research Questions:

- 1. What are the perceptions of students regarding gender bias in higher education?
- 2. Is there any difference in the perceptions of students regarding gender bias with reference to gender, university and department, age, and level of education?

Literature Review

Basically, this study provides awareness to teachers about their biased behavior among male and female students. Gender is represented as the economic, social, and cultural characteristics and prospects in association with being male or female at a particular position in a moment (Hornstein, 2017). 'Gender Bias' has been defined in by theorists in different ways. (Zumbach, & Funke, 2014)). Gender bias in education is defined as the treatment of boys and girls differently in the classroom (McLaughlin,& Hesli, 2013).

Martin, (2016) points out that females and males perceive their role in society in different manners. In most societies, male and female members of the society have different roles and responsibilities (Stark & Freishtat, 2014).

It was found that women commonly saw their roles in indoor activities and expect support from their male classmates in difficult tasks. National Center for Education Statistics, (2015) presents that men, in comparison are likely to perceive their dominating role as extensions of their power in their surroundings. Most of the studies focused on girls' equality in education. In co-education institutions boys play dominating role and get most of the teachers' attention, they express their opinion more confidently (Kimball et al, 2016)). However, another opinion is that in co-education girls win sympathy, and attention due to the vulnerability attached to their gender (Hosmer, Lemeshow, & Sturdivant (2013).

In some countries, gender inequality is being practiced in the name of equity and equality in education because the focus is shifting from boys to girls. The decline in boys' educational performance is an international phenomenon because the female part of society is now working hard to become more qualified and professional (Hollinsworth,2016). World Economic Forum (2017) points out that when we talk about inequality, in most cases, it is considered racial inequality but gender inequality is the most common feature in education and this issue should be addressed more effectively by researchers and educationists. In schools, gender-wise differences exist in the treatment of students as Stark and Freishtat, (2014) asserted that it is a false assumption that girls and boys are being treated on an equal basis in educational institutions. The fact is that both genders are facing inequality in one or another way. It is pertinent to note here that the current increase in female education will continue that might act as a disadvantage to men. Generation replacement signifies that female education will outpower men's education both in quality and quantity (Buchmann, & DiPrete, 2006. In the hindsight, this continued educational bias acting as a disadvantage to the male population might lead to social or demographic crises. Equity means providing a proper environment to fully grow one's true potential, but how to label gender bias? Do we have some evidence supporting that the low academic achievement of male students is caused by gender inequality? Furthermore, diversity (or the presence of both genders) is vital to creating an environment full of social enrichment.

Students identified with gender in order to make sense of social, political, and economic structures (DiPrete, & Buchmann, 2006). It is difficult to comprehend for a student to separate public and private life and gender roles in explaining historical and geographical analyses. Ridgeway, and Correll, (2004) in their research study discussed in detail that students can spot the gender bias hidden in theories. They identify that how normative theories have molded the minds and validated gender dominations. Students are able to find social and cultural differences in theories with reference to the roles and capacities of males and females.

In a study, 50% of the respondent were of opinion that gender discrimination has been successfully abolished from their institutions due to legislation by the institution or any other intellect of the faculty. Basically, universities remain unable

Vol.5 No.2 (2022)



to provide a neutral environment to female students because they cannot isolate from cultural, domestic duties, and social networking effects (Shaukat, et al.,2014).

A study found that the bias is not a result of the gender-based behavior of the instructors; rather it is the psychological bias on the part of the students. Students dramatically rated the perceived female instructor with more harsh feedback as compared to the perceived male instructor. This also adds that to get a rating comparable to males a female teacher has to work harder. This is a serious point of consideration for the education sector since a large number of females are inclined toward the teaching profession and professional development (Singh, 2008).

Another study suggests that students' evaluation of their teacher is a disadvantage for female faculty in academia because when comes to teacher's performance rating here again students' biases exit (Hampton & Reiser, 2004; Morrison & Johnson, 2013). A study shows that male students showed low performance and lack of interest while taking courses with female teachers because of the low care variables. (Raymo & Iwasawa, 2005).

A negative relationship was found in a study between gender bias and the selection of female instructors/professors. This proves that the gender of students affects the choice of instructor and thus the rating of a female teacher (EIGE, 2016). **Methodology**

The present study was designed to investigate students' perceptions regarding gender bias practiced by teachers at university level. It was an attempt to understand the social fabric of the country like Pakistan, where male dominating mind set prevails at a larger scale. The main purpose was to identify the types of bias found in teachers and how it is perceived by students. Descriptive study design and survey type of research was conducted to understand the phenomenon.

Population and Sampling:

The population of this research was the students studying in higher education. The sample was selected from the universities situated in the Lahore district. Six universities were selected conveniently. There were 40 accredited universities in Lahore. The selection criteria for six conveniently universities, is described below:

- At the first stage, those universities were selected where co-education is being practiced.
- At the second stage, 6 universities were selected from which three universities were public and three were from the private sector because students and teachers in both types of universities come from different socio-economic backgrounds and different mindsets.
- At the third stage, three disciplines were selected from each university. It was made sure by the researchers that the nominated departments are working in all the selected universities.
- Sample size consists of 300 students from different universities. 50 students from each university were selected.



.305

145.700

Instrument

The instrument of this study, through which the data collected, was questionnaires. The questionnaire was constructed by the researchers themselves. The students responded to questions on a five point Likert type scale: 1. Strongly agree 2. Agree 3. Neutral 4. Disagree 5. Strongly disagree

Data Analysis and Results

Data analysis was tabulated with the help of statistical SPSS software. T test and ANOVA was applied to find out the difference in perceptions on the basis of different demographic variables such as gender, university type and departments.

Table 1

T- test to	find out the o	lifference in percep	ptions regarding	ng gender bias in	higher education	on the basis of gender
			t	Sig	Df	Sig
						two
	Mean	Std. Deviation				tailed
Male	86.5870	18.94303	1.117	.405	297	.265

1.1029

Female 84.2802 15.25889 The above table demonstrates that the mean of male population is 86.5870 and female population is 84.2802 The *T*- test of male is 1.117 and female is 1.1029. Significance is 0.405. so it can be said that no significance difference is found between the perceptions of male and female regarding bias.

Table 2

One way ANOVA to find out the difference in perceptions regarding gender bias in higher education on the basis of universities

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	4748.722	5	949.744	3.653	.003
Within Groups	76432.198	294	259.973		
Total	81180.920	299			

This table displays that sum of square between groups is 4748.722and within groups 76432.198 and total is 81180.920, frequency is 3.653 and significance is .003. so it can be deduced that significant difference exists in the perceptions regarding gender bias between groups from different universities. Table 3

One way ANOVA to find out the difference in perceptions regarding gender bias in higher education on the basis of departments

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	1390.148	2	695.074	2.587	.077
Within Groups	79790.772	297	268.656		
Total	81180.920	299			

The above table concludes that the sum of square between groups 1390.148 and within groups is 79790.772 and total is 81180.920. frequency of ANOVA test is 2.587 and significance is .077. It is apparent that no significant difference is found in perceptions between groups from different departments because the significant value is greater than .05.

Table 4

One way ANOVA to find out the difference in perceptions regarding gender bias in higher education on the basis of age

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	385.905	2	192.952	.709	.493
Within Groups	80795.015	297	272.037		
Total	81180.920	299			

The above table determines that the sum of square between groups 385.905 and within groups is 80795.015 and total is 81180.920 frequency of ANOVA test is 0.709 and significance is .493 which is greater than .05 so it can be claimed that no significant difference exists in perceptions between groups regarding gender bias. Table 5

One way ANOVA to find out the difference in perceptions regarding gender bias in higher education on the basis of level of education

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	
Between Groups	1567.022	2	783.511	2.923	.055	
Within Groups	79613.898	297	268.060			
Total	81180.920	299				

ISSN Online: 2709-7625 ISSN Print: 2709-7617

The above table exhibits that the sum of square between groups 1567.022 and within groups is 79613.898 and total is 81180.920the frequency of ANOVA test is 2.923 and significance is .055 which is greater than .05 so it is clear that there is no significant difference in the perceptions of respondents on the basis of academic qualification regarding gender bias. **Findings**

- There is no significant difference found between the opinion of males and females regarding gender bias in higher education.
- Significant difference was found between the groups on the basis of different universities.
- No significant difference was found in the perceptions on the basis of different departments and disciplines.
- There was no significant difference between the groups on the basis of age regarding the perceptions of gender bias in higher education.
- No significant difference was found in the perceptions on the basis of different levels of education.

Discussion and Conclusions

The students' perception of gender bias practiced by teachers in higher education was the focus of this study. The findings of previous research conducted by Braga (2014)) are aligned with this study and exhibit that difference exists in the perceptions of male and female respondents regarding gender bias. The findings of this study show that no significant difference exists in students' perceptions on the basis of discipline, age group, and academic qualification and the findings are contradictory to the previous study led by McLaughlin and Hesli (2013) which says that significant difference exists on such variables. Gender inequality or gender bias is a widely studied topic in educational settings and it always comes to the conclusion that overall social perceptions influence academic inequalities in educational institutions. (Martin, 2016)

We received similar responses from all the universities. Most of the participants said that both genders face inequality for various reasons. Sometimes female students get more attention due to their appearance, attractive manners, sophistication, and vulnerability. On the other hand, boys win attention due to their dominating role, confidence, creativity, and boldness. And these results are similar to Hornstein, (2017) who found that for one reason or the other both genders are facing inequality in different situations. Possible social consequences of a gender-biased nation are the result of inequalities in educational institutions. It is common for social stereotypes to modify social standards and to strike a perfect gender balance in the educational arena, which seems a far fetch cry and remedies cannot be identified before evaluating the social consequences of this inequality. In the end, it is recommended that training sessions should be held in higher education institutions to develop awareness on this topic among teachers teaching at the university level and the changing roles of males and females should be the part of the curriculum.

References

Akerlof, G.A. and R.E. Kranton (2002), "Identity and Schooling: Some

Lessons for the Economics of Education", JOURNAL of Economic Literature, Vol. 40(4), pp. 1167-1201.

Bailey, M.J. (2006), "More Power to the Pill: The Impact of Contraceptive

Freedom on Women's Life Cycle and Labor Supply", QUARTERLY JOURNAL of Economics, Vol. 121(1), pp. 289-320.

Bobbitt-Zeher, D. (2007), "The Gender Income Gap and the Role of

Education", Sociology of EDUCATION, Vol. 80(1), pp. 1-22.

Braga, M., Paccagnella, M., & Pellizzari, M. (2014). Evaluating students' evaluations

of professors. Economics of Education Review, 41, 71-88.

Brown, J. (2016). The percentage of women as full-time faculty at U.S. business

schools: Surging ahead, lagging behind, or stalling out? AACSB. Retrieved from http://aacsbblogs.typepad.com/dataandresearch/2016/02/the-percentage-of-women-as-full-time-faculty-at-us-business-schools-surging-ahead-lagging-behind-or-.html

Buchmann, C. and DiPrete, T.A. (2006). "The Growing Female Advantage in

College Completion: the Role of Family Background and Academic Achievement", AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL Review, Vol. 71, pp. 515-541.

Buchmann, C., T.A. DiPrete and A. McDaniel (2007), "Gender Inequalities in

Education", manuscript to appear in the ANNUAL Review of Sociology, Vol. 34.

Cho, D. (2007), "The Role of High School Performance in Explaining Women's

Rising College Enrolment", economics of EDUCATION Review, Vol. 26, pp. 450-462.

DiPrete, T.A. and C. Buchmann (2006), "Gender-specific Trends in the

Value of Education and the Emerging Gender Gap in College Completion", DemogrAPHy, Vol. 43(1), pp. 1-24.

EIGE (2016). Gender Equality Glossary and Thesaurus. Vinius: European

Vol.5 No.2 (2022)

ISSN Online: 2709-7625 ISSN Print: 2709-7617

JA TA

Institute http://eige	for .europa	Gender .eu/rdc/thesa	Equality. aurus	Online	resource,	[DOA:	14/09/2018].	Retrieved	from:
Hollinsworth D. (2016). Unsettling Australian settler supremacy: combating									
[CrossRef]	resistance in university Aboriginal studies. <i>Race Ethnicity and Education</i> 19, 412–432. 10.1080/13613324.2014.911166 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]								
Hornstein H. A. (201	Hornstein H. A. (2017). Student evaluations of teaching are an inadequate assessment								
tool for eva	luating	faculty perfo	rmance. Cog	ent Educatio	on 6(1).				
10.1080/23 Hosmer D. W. Ir. Le	31186X	2017.13040	16 [<u>CrossRef</u>	[] [<u>Google S</u> (2013) App	<u>cholar</u>]				
regression	, Volum	e 398 John W	Viley and Son	(2013). Appl is. [Google S	Scholar]				
Kimball R., Ross M.	, Becker	B., Mundy J	J., and Thornt	hwaite W. (2016). The Kir	nball			
group rea	der: Re	elentlessly p	ractical tool	ls for data	wearhousing	and busi	ness intelligence	remastered	collection.
Indianapol Knol M H Vald P	is, Indiai	na, USA: W1	ley. [<u>Google</u>] an Driel I H	<u>Scholar</u>] & Mellent	wargh G I (20	12)			
Experimen	tal effect	ts of studen	it evaluations	s coupled w	vith collaborat	ive consult	ation on college	professors' in	structional
skills. Rese	earch in	Higher Educ	ation, 54, 825	5–850.				r	
MacNell, L., Driscol	l, A., &	Hunt, A. (20	15). What's in	n a name: E	xposing gende	r			
bias in stuc	lent ratir	igs of teachir	ng. Innovative	e Higher Ed	ucation, 40, 29	1–303			
science PS	nder, tea	aching evaluation al Sci-ence A	Politics 49	313-319	uccess in point	cal			
McLaughlin Mitchel	l, S., & I	Hesli, V. (20	13). Women (don't ask? V	Vomen don't s	ay			
no? Bargai	ning and	l service in th	he political sc	eience profes	ssion. PS: Polit	ical Science	e and Politics, 46,	355-369.	
Mengel, F., Sauerma	nn, J., &	z Zölitz, U. (2	2017). Gender	r bias in tea	ching evaluation	ons.			
IZA WORK	ng Pape	r no. 11000. (2013) Edite	Retrieved fro	om <u>http://itp</u> onal Resear	<u>.1za.org/dp110</u>	<u></u> par			
19, 579–58	13011, 1. 34.	(2013). Luitt	Jiai. Laucan	onai Resear		1011,			
National Center for I	Educatio	n Statistics. ((2015). Diges	t of education	on statistics.				
Retrieved f	rom <u>httr</u>	os://nces. ed.	gov/pubsearc	h/pubsinfo.a	sp?pubid=201	6014.			
Raymo, J.M. and M	. Iwasay	wa (2005), "I	Marriage Ma	arket Mism	atches in Japa	in:			
An Alter Review, Vo	native V pl. 70(5),	/iew of the pp. 801-822	Relationshi	p between	Women's E	ducation a	and Marriage",	American Soc	YIOLOGICAL
Ridgeway, C. and S	. Correll	l (2004), "Un	packing the	Gender Sys	stem: A				
Theoretica	al Perspe	ective on Ge	nder Beliefs	and Social	Relations", G	ender AND S	Society, Vol. 18(4)	, pp. 510-531.	
Rosen, Andrew S. 20)17. "Co	rrelations, Ti	rends, and Po	tential Biase	es among Publ	icly			
Accessible	Web-B	Based Studen	it Evaluation	is of Teach	ing." Assessm	ent & Eva	luation in Highe	er Education J	anuary: 1–
Ross J. (2017). Asiat	academ	nic complain	of deep cultu	ral bias. <i>Hi</i> s	pher Education	<i>i</i> :			
The Austra	lian.	ne comprant	or accep curra		, ici Zanetinei				
Singh, J. K.S (2008).	Whispe	ers of change.	. Female staff	f numbers in	commonweal	th			
universitie	s. Londo	on: The Assoc	ciation of Cor	mmonwealth	n Universities.				
Shauka, S., Siddiqua	h, A., &	Pell, W.A (2	2014). Gender	r discrimina	tion in higher				
education	in Pak	istan A su	rvey of un	iversity fac	ulty Eurasia	Journal	of Educational	Research 56	109-126
http://dx.de	bi.org/10).14689/ejer.2	2014.56.2	iversity fue	uity: Duitusiu	i bouinui		research, 56	109 120
Stark, P. B., & Freisl	itat, R. (2014). An ev	aluation of co	ourse evalua	tions. Science				
Open Rese	arch. do	i:10.14293/S	2199-1006.1.	SOR-EDU.	AOFRQA.v1	0.00			
Practical A	.c, J. (20 ssessme	nt. Re-search	h and Evaluat	i academic C	Retrieved from	http://pareo	online.net/getyn a	sp?v=19&n=4	
World Economic For	rum (201	(7). The Glob	bal Gender G	ap Report 20)17.	<u></u>	get in a		